Case 3:16-cv-02477-VC Document 60 Filed 09/14/16 Page 1 of 5 1 Rick L. McKnight (State Bar No. 55183) Rachel Krevans (State Bar No. 116421) fmcknight@jonesday.com RKrevans@mofo.com Alexis Adian Smith (State Bar No. 274429) 2 Wesley E. Overson (State Bar No. 154737) asmith@jonesday.com WOverson@mofo.com 3 JONES DAY Matthew A. Chivvis (State Bar No. 251325) 555 South Flower Street MChivvis@mofo.com 4 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071.2300 425 Market Street 5 Telephone: +1.213.489.3939 San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Facsimile: +1.213.243.2539 Telephone: 415.268.7000 6 Facsimile: 415.268.7522 Greg L. Lippetz (State Bar No. 154228) 7 glippetz@jonesday.com Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-JONES DAY Complainant, The Regents of the University of California 8 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, CA 94303 9 Telephone: +1.650.739.3939 Facsimile: +1.650.739.390010 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant, California Berry Cultivars, LLC and Cross-11 Defendants Douglas Shaw and Kirk Larson 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 15 16 Case No. 3:16-CV-02477-VC 17 CALIFORNIA BERRY CULTIVARS, LLC, Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] STIPULATED ORDER 18 **RE: DISCOVERY OF** ELECTRONICALLY STORED 19 v. **INFORMATION** 20 THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, 21 Defendant. 22 THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF 23 CALIFORNIA, 24 Cross-Complainant, 25 v. 26 CALIFORNIA BERRY CULTIVARS, LLC,

DOUGLAS SHAW, AND KIRK LARSON,

Cross-Defendants.

27

28

1. PURPOSE

This Order will govern discovery of electronically stored information ("ESI") in this case as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information and any other applicable orders and rules.

2. COOPERATION

The parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout the matter consistent with this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of ESI.

3. LIAISON

The parties have identified liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about and responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Each e-discovery liaison will be, or will have access to those who are, knowledgeable about the technical aspects of e-discovery, including the location, nature, accessibility, format, collection, search methodologies and production of ESI in this matter. The parties will rely on the liaisons, as needed, to confer about ESI and to help resolve disputes without court intervention.

4. PRESERVATION AND PRODUCTION

The parties have discussed their preservation obligations and needs, and they agree that preservation of potentially relevant ESI will be reasonable and proportionate. A party's meaningful compliance with this Order and efforts to promote efficiency and reduce costs will be considered in cost shifting determinations.

5. SEARCH

Absent a showing of good cause, email production requests shall be limited to five (5) custodians per producing party. Plaintiff and counter-claim defendants believe there may be a need for email production from more than five custodians from Defendants, but will make the showing of good cause when and if this need arises. The email production requests shall identify the custodian by name, search terms, and specific date range for the search of the proposed responsive ESI. Each requesting party shall limit its email production requests to a total of five (5) search terms per custodian per producing party. The search terms shall be narrowly tailored to

particular issues. Indiscriminate terms, such as the producing company's name or its product name, are inappropriate unless combined with narrowing search criteria that sufficiently reduce the risk of overproduction. A conjunctive combination of multiple words or phrases (e.g., "computer" and "system") narrows the search and shall count as a single search term. A disjunctive combination of multiple words or phrases (e.g., "computer" or "system") broadens the search, and thus each word or phrase shall count as a separate search term unless they are variants of the same word. Use of narrowing search criteria (e.g., "and," "but not," "w/x") is encouraged to limit the production and shall be considered when determining whether to shift costs for disproportionate discovery.

6. PRODUCTION FORMAT

A. General Production Format

The parties agree to produce documents in single-page, black and white Group IV. tiff

The parties agree to produce documents in single-page, black and white Group IV. tiff images of at least 300 dpi format, with database image load files (.opt, .dii, .lfp, and .lst files) that denote document breaks. Electronic files shall be produced with document-level extracted text, and scanned documents shall be produced with OCR. If particular documents warrant a different format, the parties will cooperate to arrange for the mutually acceptable production of such documents. Excel files and other spreadsheet files will be produced as native files. Native files of ESI may also be produced at the producing party's discretion when reasonably necessary to make the information contained therein accessible or upon reasonable request of the requesting party. To the extent either party believes, on a case-by-case basis, that documents should be produced in an alternative format (e.g., in color), the parties have agreed that they will meet and confer in good faith concerning such alternative production arrangements.

B. Metadata

All productions shall include a .DAT file that contains the following metadata field: BegBates, EndBates, BegAttach, EndAttach, NativeLink, AttachNum, Pagecount, TextPath, Filename, Custodian (or physical location if no custodian), and Docextension.

Email productions shall include a .DAT file that contains the following metadata fields: BegBates, EndBates, BegAttach, EndAttach, NativeLink, AttachNum, Pagecount, TextPath,

1 Filename, To, From, CC, BCC, Email Subject, Date Sent, and Docextension. 2 To the extent either party believes, on a case-by-case basis, that certain types of metadata 3 should or should not be produced, the parties have agreed that they will meet and confer in good 4 faith concerning such alternative production arrangements. 7. 5 DOCUMENTS PROTECTED FROM DISCOVERY a) Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), the production of a privileged or work-product-6 7 protected document, whether inadvertent or otherwise, is not a waiver of privilege or protection 8 from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. For example, the mere 9 production of a privileged or work-product-protected document in this case as part of a mass 10 production is not itself a waiver in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. 11 b) Communications with counsel for a party regarding this case that post-date the 12 filing of the complaint need not be placed on a privilege log. **MODIFICATION** 13 This Stipulated Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the parties or by the 14 Court for good cause shown. 15 IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record. 16 17 Dated: September 13, 2016 **JONES DAY** 18 19 By: /s/ Greg L. Lippetz. 20 Greg L. Lippetz 21 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant CALIFORNIA BERRY CULTIVARS, LLC 22 and for Cross-Defendants DOUGLAS SHAW and KIRK LARSON 23 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 24 Dated: September 13, 2016 By: /s/ Matthew A. Chivvis 25 Matthew A. Chivvis 26 Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-27 Complainant THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF 28 **CALIFORNIA** [Proposed] Stipulated Order Re Discovery of Electronically Stored Information - 4 -

Case 3:16-cv-02477-VC Document 60 Filed 09/14/16 Page 5 of 5 1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this 2 document has been obtained from all other signatories listed and on whose behalf this filing is 3 submitted. 4 5 Dated: September 13, 2016 JONES DAY 6 7 By: /s/ Greg L. Lippetz 8 Greg L. Lippetz 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant CALIFÓRNIA BERRY CULTIVARS, LLC 10 and for Cross-Defendants DOUGLAS SHAW and KIRK LARSON 11 12 **IT IS ORDERED** that the forgoing Agreement is approved. 13 14 15 16 17 Dated: 9/14/16 HONORABLE VINCE CHHABRIA 18 U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28